| | Mosting of the | Т |
|---|---|---|
| Meeting of the | | |
| CABINET | | |
| | | |
| Lald as The scale of A and 2000 | | |
| held on Thursday 1 August 2002 | | |
| PRESENT:- | | |
| Councillor TUTT (Deputy Chairman in the Chair), Councillors HARRIS, LEGGETT and THOMPSON | | LEGGETT and THOMPSON |
| councilion 1011 (Seputy Chairman in the Chair), Councilions Invitatio, EDGSD11 and 1110/115014 | | |
| An apology for absence was reported from Councillor Mrs Healy (Chairman and Leader of the Council). | | |
| | | |
| 23 | MINUTES. The minutes of the mee | |
| | submitted and approved and the Chai a correct record. | rman was authorised to sign them as |
| | d correct record. | |
| | | |
| 24 | HURST ROAD/MILL ROAD JUN TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER | CTION - OBJECTIONS TO R. The Cabinet considered the report |
| | of the Director of Planning, Regenera | tion and Amenities on proposed |
| | waiting restrictions at the junction of of objections received were given in | |
| | addition, Mr A Vogel of 98 Hurst Ro | |
| | following question to the Cabinet:- | |
| | "Why are parking spaces in 'older' H | urst Road reduced at the expense of |
| | new development in Selby Road whe | re plenty of parking was designed |
| | into new houses and then the road wa clamping from private companies? D | |
| | amenities like parking for residents a | |
| | This point was answard by Mr D E | don the Councille Highway |
| | This point was answered by Mr D Formanager. A copy of the question and | |
| | meeting. Mr Vogel also presented a | statement on behalf of the |
| | signatories of the petition of objection | h. |
| | Councillor Marsden addressed the C | abinet. He supported the need for the |
| | Traffic Regulation Order on safety gr | |
| | construction quality of the pedestrian highlighted residents' frustrations in t | |
| | expressed sympathy with the views o | local residents but considered that |
| | the Traffic Regulation Order should § | o ahead for safety reasons. |
| | Mr Foden also reported the results o | the independent safety audit for the |
| | build-out, which stated that the propo- | |
| | required to be installed. | |
| | RESOLVED: That the objections by | |
| | the appendix and that the Traffic Reg | ulation Order be made as advertised. |
| | | |
| | + | |

25. CAPITAL STRATEGY AND ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN 2002.

The Cabinet considered the report of the Director of Finance and Corporate Services together with appendices including the Council's Capital Strategy and Asset Management Plan. These were required to be submitted to the Government Office of the South East.

Councillor Lacey OBE addressed the Cabinet in his capacity as Chairman of the Scrutiny Committee which had considered this matter at their meeting on 25 July 2002. He reported that key issues raised concerned the lack of funding available for the Enterprise Hub due to the level of commitment required for the Cultural Hub. He also reported on Scrutiny Committee support for a Procurement Strategy. Councillor Tutt reported the need to review the way forward for the Authority generally over the next few months. He also expressed concern at the £1.5m funding gap for the Cultural Hub.

RESOLVED: (Key Decision and Budget and Policy Framework) That the Council be recommended to approve the Capital Strategy and Asset Management Plan 2002.

26. CIVIC BUDGET 2002/03 - REVENUE AND CAPITAL

MONITORING. The Cabinet considered the report of the Director of Finance and Corporate Services monitoring the Council's budget at the end of June 2002 and providing an initial forecast outturn for the financial year. The report showed a significant projected year-end overspend attributed by the Director to four main areas, namely:-

- · Coastline Caterers projected target shortfall of £100,000
- · Benefits Subsidy projected overspend of £200,000
- · Weekly Incorrect Benefit Scheme projected budget shortfall of £100,000
- Treasury Management projected overspend of £100,000

Councillor Marsden addressed the Cabinet on this matter. He stressed the need for accurate budget setting and identifying key areas of risk. The Director of Finance and Corporate Services advised that the practice of submitting regular budget monitoring reports was fundamental in identifying areas of risk. Through this process the Coastline Caterers shortfall was previously identified and the Treasury Management overspend had been forecast although, in this case, the position had worsened. There was no prior knowledge in respect of the Benefits Subsidy overspend. Similarly, with regard to the Weekly Incorrect Benefit Scheme, there had been no previous information to suggest that the projected shortfall would arise.

RESOLVED: (Key Decision) (1) That Cabinet notes that the General Fund Revenue Account expenditure is over budget by £84,000 at the end of June and that the forecast outturn for the year without corrective action was a £544,000 overspend.

- (2) That the Action Plan set out at paragraph 2.4 of the report for eliminating the overspend be agreed and that an update be given at the Cabinet's next meeting on 5 September 2002.
- (3) That Cabinet notes that the Housing Revenue Account is underspent by £75,000 at the end of June and that the forecast outturn for the year without corrective action was a £280,000 underspend.
- (4) That proposals be submitted to the Cabinet at their meeting on 3